IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 813 of 1992

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : YES

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

PARESH PRATAPRAI MEHTA

Versus

B T PATEL OR HIS SUCCESSOR

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 813 of 1992

MR AM RAVAL for Petitioner

MR DG CHAUHAN for Respondent No. 1-3

RULE SERVED for Respondent No. 4-5

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI

Date of decision: 10/10/2003

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. The petitioner, who was working as a daily wager as a typist from 1-6-1988 and had worked upto 13-6-1989,

claims benefit of the government resolution dated 17-10-1988, at Annexure "A" to the petition, which is said to have been adopted by the Gujarat Water Supply & Sewerage Board.

2. Admittedly, the petitioner had worked as a daily wager for about a year after 1-6-1988. The petitioner claims regularization in service under the said resolution. Under paragraph 4 of the resolution, only such semi-skilled workers who had put in more than 15 years of service as on 1-10-1988 were eligible for being made permanent under the said resolution. The petitioner, who had put in hardly one year of service, was not entitled to any benefits of paragraphs 2, 3 or 4 of the said resolution. The petition is, therefore, wholly misconceived and is rejected. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

[R.K.ABICHANDANI, J.]

parmar*